Application No.: 09/991,731 Attorney Docket No. 0808-0319P Art Unit 3681 Amendment filed April 21, 2004

Page 5

**REMARKS** 

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the

present application.

Claims 1 and 3-5, 7 and 8 are pending. Claims 1 and 5 are independent.

Claims 5 and 8 are amended. Claims 2 and 6 are canceled without

prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein.

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

**Reasons for Entry of Amendments** 

At the outset, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be entered

into the Official File in view of the fact that the amendments to the claims

automatically place the application in condition for allowance.

In the alternative, if the Examiner does not agree that this application is in

condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be

entered for the purpose of appeal. This Amendment reduces the issues on appeal

by canceling allowable claim 6 and incorporating the limitations thereof into

independent claim 5. This Amendment was not presented at an earlier date in

view of the fact that Applicants did not fully appreciate the Examiner's position

until the Final Office Action was reviewed.

Application No.: 09/991,731 Attorney Docket No. 0808-0319P

Art Unit 3681

Amendment filed April 21, 2004

Page 6

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)/Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by U.S.

Patent No. 6,464,617 to Lee. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are allowed. Claims 6-8 are objected to as being

dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowable

subject matter.

While not conceding the appropriateness of the rejection, but merely to

expedite the prosecution of the instant application, as set forth above, claim 6 is

canceled and the limitations of claim 6 have been added into independent claim 5,

and therefore independent claim 5 should be in condition for allowance.

Claims 7 and 8 depend from independent claim 5, and are therefore

allowable based on their dependence from claim 5, which is believed to be

allowable. In addition, claims 7 and 8 recite further limitations which are not

disclosed or made obvious by the applied prior art reference.

CONCLUSION

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the

present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Sam

Bhattacharya (Reg. No. 48,107) at the telephone number of the undersigned

Application No.: 09/991,731

Art Unit 3681

Attorney Docket No. 0808-0319P Amendment filed April 21, 2004 Page 7

below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

*SQ* JTE/SB:mmi 0808-0319P James T. Eller, Jr., #39,538

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000